4 3

BEFORE THE HON'BLE MADHYA PRADESH ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL BENCH AT RAIPUR

(OA)(S) 376/05

Applicant: Smt. Chhanda Banerjee
Wife of Shri B. Banerjee
Assistant Public Relation Officer
(Upper Division Teacher)
Schedule Tribe, Schedule Caste
Backward Class & Minority Class
Welfare Department,Raipur (C.G.)

V E R S U S

NON APPLICANT :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Thouyh:Secretary,Schedule Tribe,Schedule Caste,Backward & Minority Class Welfare Department,Raipur (Chhattisgarh)).
2. The Commissioner,Schedule Caste Schedule Tribe,Backward & Minority Class Welfare Department,Raipur (C.G.)
3 Shri Raghuvendra Tripathi, Additional Director,Schedule Caste, Schedule Tribe,Backward & Minority Class Welfare Department,Raipur (C.G.)
4. Shri C.S.Kotriwar
Deputy Commissioner,Schedule Caste,Schedule Tribe, Backward & Minority Class Welfare Department,Raipur (C.G.)

Cont'd...2...

(24)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
W.P. (S) No. 3776 of 2005

Petitioner — Smt. Chhanda Banerjee
Versus
Respondents — State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Thakur Vijay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

V. V. S. Murthy, Deputy Advocate General with Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 9th day of March, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner while working as Assistant Public Relation Officer (Upper Division Teacher) in Schedule Tribe, Schedule Caste, Backward Class & Minority Class, Welfare Department, Raipur was transferred to Jagdalpur, Baster, on the same terms and conditions vide impugned order dated 27.7.2001 (Annexure A/1).
2. Since before filing of the petition before the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal at Raipur, the petitioner was already relieved vide relieving order dated 27.7.2001 (Annexure A/2), no stay was granted.
3. Be that as it may, with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous as period of transfer is not applicable forever. At this point of time there is no question to examine the validity of the transfer order.
4. In view of the above, this petition stands is dismissed, having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur